

London Borough of Hammersmith & Fulham

Cabinet

22 July 2013

EQUALITIES IMPACT ASSESSMENTS

<u>ltem</u>		<u>Pages</u>
13.	TRI-BOROUGH PASSENGER TRANSPORT SERVICE FOR CHILDREN AND ADULTS	1 - 8
19.	SHEPHERD'S BUSH TOWN CENTRE (WEST) MAJOR SCHEME	9 - 16

TRI-BOROUGH PASSENGER TRANSPORT

<u>APPENDIX B – EQUALITIES IMPACT ASSESSMENT</u>

Overall Information	Details of Full Equality Impact Analysis					
Financial Year and						
Quarter						
Name and details of	Title of EIA: Tri-Borough Passenger Transport					
policy, strategy,						
function, project,	In September 2012 Cabinet Members for Adult's Se					
activity, or	boroughs agreed to initiate a parallel procurement p	•				
programme	where possible contractors to provide services to m					
	framework for provision of taxi and minibus services	s for Children's Services and Adult's Services service				
	users					
Land Officers						
Lead Officers	Tri-borough Children's Services	Tri-borough Adult's Services				
	Name: Karen Tyerman	Name: Cath Attlee				
	Position: Tri-borough Director for Commissioning	Position: Assistant Director Joint Commissioning Adults				
	(Children's Services)					
	Email: karen.tyerman@rbkc.gov.uk	Email: cattlee@westminster.gov.uk				
	Telephone No: 020 7361 3614	Telephone No: 020 3350 4499				
Lead Borough	Procurement lead – Westminster City Council					
Date of completion of	Final EIA to be completed at completion of call off p	rocess.				
final EIA						

Section 02	Scoping of Full EIA
Plan for completion	
Analyse the impact of	Analyse the impact of the policy on the protected characteristics (including where people / groups may
the policy, strategy,	appear in more than one protected characteristic). You should use this to determine whether the policy
function, project,	will have a positive, neutral or negative impact on equality, giving due regard to relevance and
activity, or	proportionality.
programme	

The Tri-borough Passenger Transport Procurement Project has a very specific client group of children with special educational needs, looked after children and vulnerable adults, particularly elderly adults and adults with learning disabilities.

Transport services for SEN children currently serve a total of 661 children across 103 destinations. Destinations are to schools and colleges largely but not entirely within the Tri-borough boundaries for both the school/college working day and for pre and post school activities. Of the current 661 service users 94 require individual passenger assistants.

A total of 13 day care centres for the elderly are currently served with approximately 517 clients making use of the passenger transport.

In addition taxi services are provided to 14 destinations to transport adults with learning disabilities and to transport looked after children to contact visits.

The procurement has taken great care to ensure that these groups are not adversely affected by any changes to provision that might potentially occur.

Eligibility policies are not part of the scope of this work. Individuals receiving transport services will not change as a result of this procurement.

The service provided – transport - usually from place of residence to school, day care centre, or contact with birth parents and return – with or without passenger escort – will remain unchanged.

The procurement may mean that clients may experience a change to the transport provider and/or passenger escort and possibly a change to route which the operator takes to the school or day care centre. The exact impact of this will not be known until the call offs have taken place and the actual contractors are known. The specification has been written to ensure that journey times are not significantly increased.

The tender evaluation process has included rigorous assessment of tenders to ensure that bidders have the required experience in the field of passenger transport services for vulnerable adults and children. This has included the requirement to satisfy evaluators across a range of service based scenarios. Quality thresholds ensured that the requisite service standards were met before any price comparisons could be undertaken. Tenders were evaluated by ASC, SEN and LAC

professionals and senior safeguarding professionals from both Adult and Children's Services.

The next stage of the project will involve the planning of routes to prepare for the call offs and then the appointment and mobilisation of contractors for particular routes. Further consultation will take place when the details of such routes are determined to understand the impact of any changes for any individual service user. One of the benefits from a tri-borough transport solution is that it enables some taxis to be replaced by minibuses where children from multiple boroughs travel to the same school, however where it is deemed necessary, taxis can continue to be provided if this is justified.

Mobilisation will include the implementation of a detailed communications plan to ensure all stakeholders and individual service users understand any changes.

Protected characteristic	Borough Analysis	Impact:
Age	All three boroughs Adults who require the provision of transport include a significant proportion who are older service users. We believe that overall the impact of a potential change to transport management and transport provider will be neutral, however as there may be some change from current arrangements for some individuals we will work closely with service users and day care centre managers to ensure any impact of the change is minimised. An analysis of the workforce indicates that there are no specific issues relating to the composition of the workforce and the impact of the changes due to take place. Where appropriate in house staff are being supported to update their qualifications to ensure that they are not disadvantaged in any transfer of employment.	Neutral
Disability	All three boroughs Adults who require the provision of transport include a significant proportion who have disabilities. This is also the case for a number of children who have special educational needs. We believe that overall the impact of a potential change to transport management and transport provider will be	Neutral

	neutral, however as there may be some change from current arrangements for some individuals we will work closely with service users and schools, day care centre managers and other partners to ensure any impact of the change is minimised.		
Gender reassignment	All three boroughs N/A	Neutral	
Marriage and Civil Partnership	All three boroughs N/A	Neutral	
Pregnancy and maternity	All three boroughs N/A	Neutral	
Race	An initial analysis of the workforce indicates that there are no specific issues relating to the composition of the workforce and the impact of the changes due to take place. Where appropriate in house staff are being supported to update their qualifications to ensure that they are not disadvantaged in any transfer of		
	employment.		
Religion/belief (including non- belief)	All three boroughs		
Sex	All three boroughs An analysis of the workforce indicates that there are no specific issues relating to the composition of the workforce and the impact of the changes due to take place.		
	Where appropriate in house staff are being supported to update their qualifications to ensure that they are not disadvantaged in any transfer of employment.		

Sexual Orientation	All three boroughs N/A	
The report is see	or Children's Rights king agreement for WCC to award the Framework Agreements for Lots 1A and ors) as outlined in Section 6.10 of this report, on behalf of itself, LBHF and RBK	

Section 03	Analysis of relevant data Examples of data can range from census data to customer satisfaction surveys. Data should involve specialist data and information and where possible, be disaggregated by different equality strands.
Documents and data reviewed	LBHF: RBKC: WCC:
New research	

Section 04	Consultation
	Complete this section if you have decided to supplement existing data by carrying out additional
	consultation.
Consultation in each	All three boroughs
borough	
	Consultation undertaken and planned as follows:
	Statutory duty to consult parents of children with SEN:
	Consultation undertaken with teachers and parents of SEN children regarding changes to service

borough	Consultation with ASC service users highlighted the following areas as being key: Punctuality of buses Route planning
Analysis of consultation outcomes for each	All three boroughs
	Additional consultations regarding eligibility policies have taken place.
	As consultation is planned around a number of stages in this process, it is important to ensure that this is carried out using methods that ensure all service users including those with disabilities can participate.
	 Rationalisation of routes and transport arrangements (parents, carers, service users if changes affect their transport arrangements; employees if arrangements materially affect work duties): Once arrangements have been proposed – after award of contracts off the framework – see above.
	Consultation with day care centre managers, other adult service partners and adult service users LBHF May – July 2010 and August/September 2012 Further consultation planned (LBHF/RBKC/WCC) July - September 2013 to inform call offs and mobilisation
	affected): Consultation undertaken with parents of SEN children regarding changes to service provision including potential outsourcing (LBHF May - July 2010)
	LBHF May – July 2010 and August/September 2012 Further consultation planned (LBHF/RBKC/WCC) July - September 2013 to inform call offs and mobilisation Transport Operator Framework (parents, carers, service users where individual transport is
	centres etc): Consultation undertaken with parents of SEN children regarding changes to service provision including potential outsourcing (LBHF May - July 2010) Consultation with day care centre managers, other adult service partners and adult service users
	 Proposed changes to Transport Management Service (employees): consultation has started and is on going Proposed changes to Transport Management Service (parents, carers, service users, schools, day
	provision including potential outsourcing (LBHF May - July 2010) Further consultation planned (LBHF/RBKC/WCC) July - September 2013 to inform call offs and mobilisation

- Quality of buses
- Drivers

Consultation with teachers and parents of SEN children highlighted the following areas as being key:

- Time keeping
- Punctuality
- Quality of travel assistance arrangements
- Consistency of travel assistance arrangements
- Safety

As noted above this has already been taken into consideration as part of specifications and evaluations of tenders and will be used again to inform call offs, routing and mobilisation

As consultation is ongoing through various stages of the procurement, EIA will be amended and developed further if additional issues are identified which have an impact on people with one or more protected characteristics.

Section 05	Analysis of impact and outcomes
Analysis	All three boroughs
7 maryons	 The overall conclusion at this stage is that agreement to award the framework agreements for Lots 1A and 1B will not overall have a noticeable impact upon service users as they will continue to be provided with transport as needed. The main impact will be that the provider of the transport is likely to change although this may also have been the case for some existing arrangements as personnel and routes have been reviewed. Further work is required to fully understand the specific impact for each user once contractors are known. The EIA will be revised in the light of any implications for people with particular protected
	characteristics which have not been identified to date

Section 06	Reducing any adverse impacts and recommendations
Outcome of Analysis	No negative impacts identified to date although this EIA will need to be revised in the light of any specific issues identified during further consultation with service users and staff.
Section 07	Action Plan
Action Plan	Note: You will only need to use this section if you have identified actions as a result of your analysis

Issue identified	Action (s) to be taken	When	Lead officer and borough	Expected outcome	Date added business/se plan	
Effective consultation of people with disabilities	Planned consultation of service users to include tailored approaches to ensure any barriers to communication are addressed	Ongoing				
Unforeseen impacts emerge from consultation or research	EIA to be refreshed and further actions planned as appropriate	Ongoing				

Section 08	Agreement, publication and monitoring			
Chief Officers' sign-	LBHF	RBKC	WCC	
off Name: Andrew Christie		Name: Andrew Christie	Name: Andrew Christie	
	Position: Tri-borough Executive	Position: Tri-borough Executive	Position: Tri-borough Executive	
	Director of Children's Services	Director of Children's Services	Director of Children's Services	
	Email:	Email:	Email:	
	Andrew.christie@lbhf.gov.uk	Andrew.christie@lbhf.gov.uk	Andrew.christie@lbhf.gov.uk	
	Telephone No:	Telephone No:	Telephone No:	
Key Decision Report	LBHF	RBKC	WCC	
(if relevant)	Date of report to Cabinet:	Date of report to Cabinet:	Date of report to Cabinet Sub	
	22/07/13	18/07/13	Committee: w/b 1 st July 13	
Key equalities issues have been included: Yes		Key equalities issues have been	Key equalities issues have been	
		included: Yes	included: Yes	
Lead Equality	LBHF	RBKC	WCC	
Manager (where	Name:	Name:	Name:	
involved)	Position:	Position:	Position:	
	Date advice / guidance given:	Date advice / guidance given:	Date advice / guidance given:	
	Email:	Email:	Email:	
	Telephone No:	Telephone No:	Telephone No:	



Equality Impact Analysis Initial Screening Tool with Guidance

Overview

This Tool has been produced to help you analyse the likelihood of impacts on the protected characteristics – including where people are represented in more than one— with regard to your new or proposed policy, strategy, function, project or activity. It has been updated to reflect the new public sector equality duty and should be used for decisions from 5th April 2011 onwards. It is designed to help you determine whether you may need to do a Full EIA. If you already know that your decision is likely to be of high relevance to equality, and/or be of high public interest, you should contact the Opportunities Manager, as s/he may recommend moving directly to a Full EIA.

General points

- 1. 'Due regard' means the regard that is appropriate in all the circumstances. In the case of controversial matters such as service closures or reductions, considerable thought will need to be given the equalities aspects.
- 2. Wherever appropriate, and in all cases likely to be controversial, the outcome of the EIA needs to be summarised in the Cabinet/Cabinet Member report and equalities issues dealt with and cross referenced as appropriate within the report.
- 3. Equalities duties are fertile ground for litigation and a failure to deal with them properly can result in considerable delay, expense and reputational damage.
- 4. Where dealing with obvious equalities issues e.g. changing services to disabled people/children, take care not to lose sight of other less obvious issues for other protected groups.

Timing, and sources of help

Case law has established that having due regard means analysing the impact, and using this to inform decisions, thus demonstrating a conscious approach and state of mind ([2008] EWHC 3158 (Admin), here). It has also established that due regard cannot be demonstrated after the decision has been taken. Your EIA should be considered at the outset and throughout the development of your proposal, through to the recommendation for decision. It should demonstrably inform, and be made available when the decision that is recommended. This tool contains guidance, and you can also access guidance from the EHRC here. If you are analysing the impact of a budgetary decision, you can find EHRC guidance here. Advice and guidance can be accessed from the Opportunities Manager: PEIA@Ibhf.gov.uk or ext 3430.

Initial Screening Equality Impact Analysis Tool

Ī	Section 01	Details of Initial Equality Impact Screening Analysis				
	Financial Year and Quarter	Year and 2013/1 Q1				
	Name of policy, strategy, function, project, activity, or programme		ush Town Centre (West) Major Project es and details the Shepherds Bush Town Centre (West) Major Project to be implemented in 2013-2015 funded by andon (TfL).			
Page 10	Q1 What are you looking to achieve?	 To support set Kensington, To improve To improve To improve To make it set To support set 	en borough transport objectives are below; support sustainable population and employment growth in the five regeneration areas - White City, Earl's Court/West ensington, Hammersmith Town Centre, Fulham Riverside and Old Oak Common. improve the efficiency of our road network. improve the quality of our streets. improve air quality in the borough. make it easier for everyone to gain access to transport opportunities. support residents and businesses by controlling parking spaces fairly. reduce the number of people injured and killed on our streets.			
	Q2 Who in the main will benefit?	Age	Elderly road users will benefit from accessibility improvements to the boroughs highway network and urban realm	Н	+	
		Disability	Mobility impaired road users will benefit from accessibility improvements to the boroughs road network and urban realm	Н	+	
		Gender reassignment		na	na	

Tool and Guidance updated for new PSED from 05.04.2011

equalities?	
Q4	No
Does the policy, strategy,	
function, project, activity,	
or programme actually or	
potentially contribute to	
or hinder equality of	
opportunity, and/or	
adversely impact human	
rights?	

Initial Screening Equality Impact Analysis Guidance

	Section 01	Details of Initial Equalities Impact Screening Analysis	
	Name of policy, strategy, function, project, activity, or	A Policy refers to an approved decision, principle plan or a set of procedures by Cabinet, or a Cabinet Member under delegated powers that affects the way that the Council conducts its business both internally and externally. A policy can include: strategies, guides, manuals and common practice.	
П	programme	Tripolicy can include characy, galace, manuals and common practice.	
Page 12	programme	A Strategy refers to a systematic short term or a long term plan of action that is designed to achieve a specific business benefit or goal(s).	
		A Function refers to any actions and/or activities designed to achieve a specific business benefit or goal.	
		A Project defines how a temporary structure or scheme can achieve a specific business benefit or goal(s). A project can be implemented by setting up aims and objectives, resources, communication, budget needs and timelines.	
		An Activity is a specific task (or a groups of tasks) which can also form as part of a 'function'.	
		A Programme is a portfolio of activities and projects that are co-ordinated and managed as a unit such that they realise common outcomes and benefits.	
	Q1 What are you looking to achieve?	For example this might help to implement outcomes identified in policies such as the <u>Single Equality Scheme</u> , <u>Disability Equality Scheme</u> , <u>other EIAs</u> in your service department, or in another department that your service/service users also interact with and draw down services from, <u>Corporate Plan</u> , <u>LAA Targets</u> , CAA Aims, <u>UDP</u> , or <u>JSNA</u> .	

Q2 Who in the main will

Hereafter, 'policy' means policy, strategy, function, project, activity, or programme

Disability

Service providers also have an anticipatory duty to make reasonable adjustments for disabled people. These two duties frequently overlap and it is sensible to consider them together. For example, can you:

- Provide accessible communications?
- Change how you collate and use data?
- Revise how you involve service users?

Analyse the impact of the policy on the <u>protected characteristics</u> with due regard to the Public Sector Equality Duty.

Use your reasoning in order to determine whether the policy will be of high, medium or low relevance to the protected characteristics. What do we mean by these terms?:

High

- The policy, strategy, function, project, activity, or programme is relevant to all or most parts of the general duty, and/or to human rights
- There is substantial or a fair amount of evidence that some groups are (or could be) differently affected by
- There is substantial or a fair amount of public concern about it

Medium

- The policy, strategy, function, project, activity, or programme is relevant to most parts of the general duty, and/or to human rights
- There is some evidence that some groups are (or could be) differently affected by it
- There is some public concern about it

Low

- The policy, strategy, function, project, activity, or programme is not generally relevant to most parts of the general duty, and/or to human rights
- There is little evidence that some groups are (or could be) differently affected by it
- There is little public concern about it

Use your reasoning to determine whether the impact will be positive, neutral, or negative. There are three

possible outcomes:

- Positive: The EIA shows the policy is not likely to result in adverse impact for any protected characteristic and does advance equality of opportunity, and/or fulfils PSED in another way
- Neutral: The EIA shows the policy, strategy, function, project or activity is not likely to result in adverse impact for any protected characteristic and does not advance equality of opportunity, and/or fulfils PSED in another way
- Negative: The EIA shows the policy, strategy, function, project or activity is likely to have an adverse impact on a particular protected characteristic(s) and potentially does not fulfil PSED, or the negative impact will be mitigated through another means.

Should your policy not be applicable, you must note this and state why.

Human Rights, Children's Rights

Additionally, demonstrate here that the impact on **Human and/or Children's Rights** arising from the policy has been considered.

Human Rights

Public authorities have an obligation to act in accordance with the European Convention on Human Rights. These are:

- Article 2: Right to life
- Article 3: Freedom from torture and inhuman or degrading treatment
- Article 4: Right to liberty and security
- Article 5: Freedom from slavery and forced labour
- Article 6: Right to a fair trial
- Article 7: No punishment without law
- Article 8: Respect for your private and family life, home and correspondence
- Article 9: Freedom of thought, belief and religion
- Article 10: <u>Freedom of expression</u>
- Article 11: Freedom of assembly and association
- Article 12: Right to marry and start a family
- Article 14: Protection from discrimination in respect of these these rights and freedoms
- Article 1 of Protocol 1: Right to peaceful enjoyment of your property
- Article 2 of Protocol 1: Right to education
- Article 3 of Protocol 1: Right to participate in free elections

Q4

Yes/No

Does the policy, strategy, function, project, activity, or programme actually or potentially contribute to or hinder equality of opportunity and/or human rights?

If the answer here is 'yes', then it is necessary to go ahead with a Full Equality Impact Analysis. You should also consider a Full Equality Impact Analysis if your decision is likely to be of high relevance to equality, and/or be of high public interest.